

For Immediate Release: April 7, 2021

Media Contact: Joshua Alexander 415-972-3258, [HYPERLINK "mailto:alexander.joshua@epa.gov"]

U.S. EPA Program Aimed at Runoff, or "Nonpoint Source," Pollution Funds California Watershed Restoration Efforts

California Water Boards leverage EPA support to protect water quality in Tomales Bay, Marin County, used for recreation and oyster production

SAN FRANCISCO — California Water Boards The California State Water Resources Control Board (the State Board) will use \$4.4 million of a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) grant to fund projects in seven counties around the state. EPA's annual Clean Water Act (CWA) Nonpoint Source Program grant assists the Water Boards In implementing programs to address pollution caused by runoff moving over the ground, known as nonpoint source (NPS)-pollution.

The Marin Resource Conservation District was awarded over \$700,000 by <u>Galifornia Water Boardsthe</u>
<u>State Board</u> for their *Conserving Our Watersheds* (COW) Program. This project helps ranchers within the Point Reyes National Seashore prevent nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment, and bacteria from livestock operations from running off into Tomales Bay. Tomales Bay supports oyster production and recreational activities including kayaking and fishing.

"EPA is proud to promote the COW Program, which supports water quality improvements within Marin County's watershed, reducing runoff of sediment, nutrients, and bacteria from ranching into Tomales Bay," said EPA Pacific Southwest Director for the Office of Water Tomás Torres.

"Federal investment in nonpoint source solutions means the Water Board can support the Tomales Bay project and similar projects that are making water safer for our communities," said Joaquin Esquivel, Chair, California State Water Resources Control Board. "When federal, state, and local leadership are aligned, opportunities to protect California's most vulnerable watersheds expand exponentially."

Six other APS-nonpoint source projects selected by the State Board are also being supported from through this EPA funding. Two projects address post-wildfire conditions:

- <u>I</u>the Sonoma County Resource Conservation District was awarded \$342,000 for Post-Fire Recovery and Sediment Reduction in Mark West Creek in Sonoma County, and
- *The Earth Island Institute and South Coast Habitat Restoration were awarded \$799,000 for Carpinteria Creek Sediment Reduction and Habitat Enhancement Project in Santa Barbara County.

Commensed (ECS): Insuring we are plandizing "Econds" because we mean more than one Regional Board is funding these projects" If that is incorrect, and they are being funded by the statewide lavel organization, I mink they should be referred to as the "State Water Resources Control foard." See I MYPERINK.

"https://www.weterboerds.co.gov/sbout_sc/vster_boerds _struntss/") - there is one State Wider Reposition Control Boards and nine semi-subordinate Regional Boards, Based on the Ising a quote from Boaquin Esquival, Lassuma we granted the Souds at the State Board Levil

Commented (EL2): Again, I imagine we neven that the individual local Water Board (singular) exceeds these funds, and perhaps we should name that Board outright. In this one, it's the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board, #EDIT - Local from the guote that these funds must have some from the State Board.

Commented [83.9]: Hearned from Slepandro in a different press release review that AP Style septithat forms in a builded film should each be capitalized and end in a period. This is the opposite of the way flusa builded lists () prefer your style here), but flows to the AP for this purposal.

Four additional projects address riparian habitat restoration:

- California Trout₇ Inc₂ was awarded \$674,000 for Hart Ranch Stock Watering and Riparian Fence
 Project to support riparian restoration in the Little Shasta River in Siskiyou County₂
- tine Eel River Recovery Project was awarded \$474,000 for Tenmile Creek Streambank Erosion Prevention and Riparian Restoration Project in Mendocino County.
- #The Truckee River Watershed Council was awarded \$590,000 for Phase 1 of the Euer Valley Restoration Project in Nevada County, and.
- *The Napa County Department of Public Works was awarded \$800,000 for Phase 2 of the Napa River Restoration: Oakville to Oak Knoll, Group D, in Napa County.

Since 2004, the Water Boards' State Board's Nonpoint Source Program has awarded over \$65 million of EPA grant funds for local projects that reduce runoff pollution into California's waters. The projects make waters safer for people and wildlife by preventing sediment erosion from rural roads and wildfire-impacted areas, controlling pollution from grazing and livestock operations, supporting farmers to plant cover crops to improve soil health, and restoring stream habitat affected by legacy timber activities.

In December, EPA approved California's 2020-2025 Nonpoint Source Program Implementation Plan, which made the state <u>State Board</u> eligible for EPA grant funds to support their programs. In 2021, California <u>Regional</u> Water <u>Quality Control</u> Boards are eligible to apply to the <u>State Board to access for \$9</u> million in federal funds to address priority nonpoint sources of pollution, conduct inspections, and work with stakeholders to find effective solutions for water quality problems.

For more information on California's Nonpoint Source (NPS) Pollution Control Program visit: [HYPERLINK "https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/nps/"]

For more EPA information on nonpoint source pollution visit: [HYPERLINK "https://www.epa.gov/nps/basic-information-about-nonpoint-source-nps-pollution"]

Learn more about EPA's [HYPERLINK "https://www.epa.gov/pacific-southwest-media-center"]. Connect with us on [HYPERLINK "https://www.facebook.com/EPAregion9"] and on [HYPERLINK "https://twitter.com/EPAregion9"].

###

Commensed (\$4.6): We abbrevieted (NPS) in paragraph 1 and used it once in paragraph 2, and then spalled it out fully in these last 2 paragraphs, I suggest we don't need to abbreviate it in the earlier paragraph, if we really are only using the accommonor it teak it out up top.

Commented (£LS): On dear, so the Regional Boards did apply individually after all if wonder ill Waster Devilum needs to have inotifier book at this doc to confirm we are consistently additional the State Board or Regional Boards' involvement correctly.